

S.N.: 10/090,699
Art Unit: 2137

REMARKS

Claims 1-29 are currently pending. Claims 1, 13, 15, 17, 21, and 25 have been amended. Claims 26-29 have been added. The support for the amendment to claims 1 and 13 is found on page 8, lines 23-27, and page 5, lines 14-19 of the original specification. The amendment to claims 15 and 17 was to correct obvious errors. The support for the amendment to claims 21 and 25 is found on page 8, lines 1-11 and 23-27, and page 5, lines 14-19 of the original specification. The support for claim 26 is found on page 8, lines 1-2 of the original specification. The support for claim 27 is found on page 8, lines 2-4, of the original specification. The support for claims 28 and 29 is found on page 11, lines 17-21, of the original specification. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added.

The Patent Office objected to claim 1 because “decryption” was transcribed as “description.”

Claim 1 has been amended to overcome the Patent Office’s objection. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added.

The Patent Office rejected claims 13, 15, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 13, 15, and 17 have been amended to overcome the Patent Office’s rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejections. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added.

The Patent Office rejected all claims based on Nii, alone or in combination with Doyle or Ronzani. The Patent Office rejected claims 1-4, 6, 9, 10, 13, 15, 17, 21, 22, and 25 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nii, “Method for Providing Multimedia Files and Terminal Therefore,” U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0076051. The Patent Office rejected claims 11, 12, 16, 19, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nii. The Patent Office rejected claims 7, 8, 23, and 24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nii in view of Doyle, U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0159044. The Patent Office rejected claims 5, 14, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nii as applied to claims 1-4, 6, 9, 10, 13, 15, 17, 21, 22, and 25, and further in view of Ronzani, U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0163486.

Claim 1 recites “A display security system comprising a display device comprising an electrical display, a file with encrypted information, a system for displaying the encrypted information on the display, and a decryption key receiver; and a key FOB adapted to transmit a

S.N.: 10/090,699
Art Unit: 2137

decryption key to the decryption key receiver of the display device, wherein the display device is adapted to display the encrypted information on the display in a decrypted form when the receiver receives the decryption key from the key FOB, and **wherein the display device is adapted to display markings other than actual data on the display when the receiver does not receive the decryption key from the key FOB.**

Claim 13 recites “A display system comprising a frame adapted to be placed at a user's head; a display screen attached to the frame and located in front of a user's eye; a first receiver connected to the frame for receiving a wireless signal having a decryption key; a system connected to the first receiver for decrypting encrypted signals and displaying information contained in the encrypted signals on the display screen, the decrypting system comprising a memory and a system for temporarily storing the decryption key received by the receiver in the memory, wherein non-encrypted information is always displayed, wherein the decrypting system requires a predetermined decryption key in the memory in order for the decryption system to decrypt the encrypted signals, **wherein encrypted information is displayed in non-encrypted form when the decryption key is received and is otherwise displayed as markings.**”

Claim 21 recites “A method of displaying encrypted information on an electronic display screen comprising steps of providing a key FOB with a decryption key; transmitting the decryption key from the key FOB to a device containing the electronic display screen; applying the decryption key to the encrypted information to decrypt the encrypted information; displaying the decrypted information on the display screen; and **sending a new decryption key seed to a user if security is determined to be compromised.**”

Claim 25 recites “A program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodied in a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform its method steps, for displaying information on an electronic display screen comprising steps of determining if a predetermined decryption key has been received from a key FOB; and if the predetermined decryption key has been received from the key FOB, applying the decryption key to encrypted information and displaying the information on a display screen in a non-encrypted form; **if the predetermined decryption key has not been received from the key FOB, displaying the information on the display screen as one of the group consisting of markings, jumbled text, jumbled numbers, and symbols that does not represent actual data.**”

S.N.: 10/090,699
Art Unit: 2137

None of the references Nii, Doyle, and Ronzani appear to anticipate or make obvious any of the currently pending claims.

Claim 26 recites “A display security system as in claim 1, wherein **a circuit inside the key FOB self-destructs if it is determined that an attempt to disassemble the key FOB is made.**” None of the references Nii, Doyle, and Ronzani appear to anticipate or suggest the limitations of claim 26.

Claim 27 recites “A method as in claim 21, wherein **the new decryption key seed is periodically changed.**” None of the references Nii, Doyle, and Ronzani appear to anticipate or suggest the limitations of claim 27.

Claim 28 recites “A display system as in claim 14, wherein **the frame comprises a sensor for sensing when the frame is removed from a user’s head.**” None of the references Nii, Doyle, and Ronzani appear to anticipate or suggest the limitations of claim 28.

Claim 29 recites “A display system as in claim 28 wherein **the decryption key is deleted upon sensing that the frame has been removed from the user’s head.**” None of the references Nii, Doyle, and Ronzani appear to anticipate or suggest the limitations of claim 29.

The Patent Office is respectfully requested to reconsider and remove the rejections of the claims 1-29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) based on Nii or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Nii, alone or in combination with , and to allow all of the pending claims 1-29 as now presented for examination. An early notification of the allowability of claims 1-29 is earnestly solicited.

S.N.: 10/090,699
Art Unit: 2137

Respectfully submitted:

Walter J. Malinowski November 21, 2005
Walter J. Malinowski Date
Reg. No.: 43,423

Customer No.: 29683

HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP
4 Research Drive
Shelton, CT 06484-6212

Telephone: (203)925-9400, extension 19
Facsimile: (203)944-0245
email: wmalinowski@hspatent.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

11-21-05 Ann O'Brien Towich
Date Name of Person Making Deposit